

***Euphorbia pallens* Dillw., the correct name for *Euphorbia articulata* Dennst., the sea-shore species from India**

Vatsavaya S. Raju

Plant Systematics Laboratory, Department of Botany, Kakatiya University
Warangal - 506 009, Andhra Pradesh, India
e-mail : vatsavaya@usa.net

Abstract

The name *Euphorbia articulata* Dennst., as applied to the species occurring in the Malabar coast of Peninsular India, but distinct from *E. atoto* Forst. f. is a later homonym and illegitimate. When *E. halophila* Miquel is treated as conspecific to *E. articulata* Dennst., the correct name of the species is *E. pallens* Dillw.

INTRODUCTION

Boissier (1862), in his monographic treatment of *Euphorbia* L., considered *E. atoto* Forst. f. (1786) and *E. halophila* Miquel (1852) as two distinct and geographically distant species under the subsect. *Sclerophylleae*. Apparently, the latter is different from the former in having the smaller stature, many smaller bracts, and globose seeds. But, Hooker (1887) regarded *E. halophila* to be conspecific with *E. atoto*, which was accepted and followed by the subsequent workers of the flora of southern India (Rama Rao, 1914; Cooke, 1906; Gamble, 1925; Sharma *et al.*, 1984; Nicolson *et al.*, 1988).

Recently, Binojkumar and Balakrishnan (1993) segregated the Indian coastal element on account of its stems not tapered towards the apex, leaves obtuse, stipules broad and fimbriate, and cyathia in fascicles in contrast to *E. atoto* Forst. f. as *E. articulata* Dennst. (1818) instead of treating as *E. halophila* on the basis of rule of priority of ICBN. They concurred with Boissier and Hooker in considering *E. halophila* Miquel to be conspecific with *E. articulata* Dennst. But, they are obviously unaware of the fact that *E. articulata* Dennst. (1818) is a later homonym (Aublet, Hist. Pl. Guiana Fr. 480. 1775). Indeed, Boissier (1862) cited three different *Euphorbia articulata*: (i) *E. articulata* Lam. (1788), (ii) *E. articulata* Andersson (1854) and (iii) Dennstedt's name which was mentioned in synonymy under *E. halophila*. Dillwyn's *nomen novum* was perhaps deliberate since Dennstedt's *E. articulata* is a later homonym. It is to be noted here that Mabberley (1977), while reviewing the validly published names provided by Dillwyn and Dennstedt, treated *E. pallens* Dillw. (Mabberley, l.c.531) and *E. articulata* Dennst. (Mabberley, l.c. 539) as *E. atoto* Forst. f. Since both these

Vatsavaya S. Raju

names are homotypic (based on Rheede's Hort. Malab. 10: t. 58. 1690) and considered to be different from *E. atoto* Forst. f. (Prodr. 36. no. 207. 1786), the next available name for this taxon is *E. halophila* Miquel (1852) as accepted by Boissier. However, Dillwyn's work (1839) though inscribed as 'Not published' in the title page (i.e., not passed through a publisher), was accessible to many a botanist working on the flora of India (e.g., Elliot, Fl. Andhrice: 84. 1859; Boissier, l.c.; Hooker, l.c.). Now, after Mabberley's (1977) report of Dillwyn's (1839) names as effectively and validly published, the correct name of the present species is *Euphorbia pallens* Dillw.

***Euphorbia pallens* Dillw., Review Hort. Malab. 55. 1839.**

Type: Rheede, Hort. Malab. 10: t. 58. 1690.

E. articulata Dennst., Schluessel. Hort. Malab. 37. 1818, non Aublet (1775), nec Lam. (1788), nec Andersson (1854); Binoj. & Balakr., Rheede 3: 113, 1993.

Type: Rheede, Hort. Malab. 10: t. 58. 1690 (Iconotype).

E. halophila Miquel, Anal. Bot. Ind. 3: 16. 1852; Boiss. in DC., Prodr. 15(2): 13. 1862.

Type: Malabar, Mahe et Telischerry, J.F. Metz in Herb. *Hohenacker 725* (K.- holotype, n.v.).

E. atoto sensu auctt., non G. Forster: Hook. f., Fl. Brit. India 5: 248. 1887 p.p.; Cooke, Fl. Pres. Bombay 2: 572. 1906; Gamble, Fl. Pres. Madras 2: 1275. 1925; Sharma *et al.*, Fl. Karnataka 249. 1984; Nicolson *et al.*, Intep. Hort. Malab. 109. 1988.

Note: Binojkumar and Balakrishnan (1993) specified the distribution of the species as also in Orissa (*East coast of India*) without citing a specimen or reference. Besides, they mentioned the type of *E. halophila* Miquel to be "*Hohenacker 725*." It is misleading since Boissier (l.c.13) cited the specimen as that of Metz. J.F. Metz (1819-1886) of Basel Mission (Switzerland) collected plant specimens from South Kanara (Mangalore), Coorg (Mercara) and Nilgiris (Ooty) (Hooker & Thomson, 1855: 127) for R.F. Hohenacker (1789-1874), supposedly as vouchers for van Rheede's *Hortus Malabaricus* though he actually collected them in the above-mentioned localities. Furthermore, Burkill (1965: 53) stated that some authors quote these specimens as Hohenacker's for he was only an intermediary. However, it is to be ascertained whether the 725 was a number assigned by Metz or inserted by Hohenacker.

Acknowledgements

I thank Dr. Dan H. Nicolson, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., and Prof. K.S. Manilal, Calicut for their valuable comments on the manuscript.

Euphorbia pallens* Dillw., the correct name for *Euphorbia articulata**Literature cited**

- Binojkumar, M.S. & N.P. Balakrishnan. 1993. Notes on *Euphorbia atoto* G. Forster and its allied species (*Euphorbiaceae*). *Rheede* 3: 113-116.
- Boissier, 1862. Subordo Euphorbieae. In: A.P. de Candolle, *Prodromus Systematis Naturalis Regni Vegetabilis*. Paris. 15(2): 3-188.
- Burkill, I.H. 1965. *Chapters on the History of Botany*. Manager of Publications, Govt. of India, Delhi.
- Cooke, T. 1906. *The Flora of the Presidency of Bombay*. Taylor and Francis Publ., London. 2: 572.
- Dennstedt, A.W. 1818. *Schluessel zum Hortus Indicus Malabaricus*. Weimar.
- Dillwyn, L.W. 1839. *A review of the references to the Hortus Malabaricus of Henry van Rheede van Draakenstein*. Swansea.
- Gamble, J.S. 1925. *Flora of the Presidency of Madras*. Adlard & Son Ltd., London. Vol. 2: 1275.
- Hooker, J.D. & T. Thomson. 1855. *Flora Indica*. W. Pamplin, London.
- Hooker, J.D. 1887. *Euphorbia*. In: J.D. Hooker, *Flora of British India*. L. Reeve & Co. London. Vol. 5: 244-266.
- Mabberley, D.J. 1977. Fancis Hamilton's commentaries with particular reference to *Meliaceae*. *Taxon* 26: 523-540.
- Nicolson, D.H., C.R. Suresh & K.S. Manilal. 1988. *An Interpretation of Van Rheede's Hortus Malabaricus*. Regnum Veg. 119. Konigstein.
- Rama Rao, M. 1914. *Flowering Plants of Travancore*. Trivandrum.
- Sharma, B.D., N.P. Singh, R.S. Rahgavan & U.R. Deshpande. 1984. *Flora of Karnataka*. Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta.